

## **Strategic Planning Work Team #4 – Traditional Undergraduate Students- Tuesday, March 22<sup>nd</sup> – 8:30am**

**Members:** Bill Rosser, Wyant Morton, Joan Griffin, Angela Naginey, Susan Murphy, Maria Kohnke. **Regrets:** Jenny Charret, Chris Paul, Heidi Granger and Druanne Pagliosotti. **Guest:** Doug Mason

**Handouts given:** Demographic Data on TUG's, Stuck Senior Data

Reviewed minutes and received new handouts.

Leanne joined us to thank us for our service and time. Our task is not to write the Strategic Plan, but rather to form the questions that will contribute to the writing of the SP. We should not feel responsible for only our opinion, but to instead ask other colleagues about their opinion on this information. Some of the work of the work team will overlap with work team two, Joan Griffin is on that team. She can serve as our liaison to that team. Work team two is addressing academic programs and what, if any, expansion might be suggested.

There are two town hall meetings twice this week. The meetings will introduce the strategic planning process and the work teams. Doug Mason has been working with CLU since November and has been consulting on this process.

Members of the team were introduced to Doug.

Joan asked us to re-visit the capacity data. She asked us to look at the outliers (large sections of Biology, Chemistry and waiting list for Psych). The growth has not been distributed evenly. Some departments will feel the growth right away, some will feel it 1-2 years down the line. We should look at the course enrollment data by department.

With the course enrollment data, we looked at how Chapel can be accommodated and the overall class schedule.

The team looked at the new data. One piece that might be missing is how the growth in enrollment is affecting the residence halls. Any way of shaping enrollment, we need to know where we are now to decide how we want to move forward.

How do we want to craft our enrollment, do we want to be more residential or do we devote a certain number of bed spaces and promise spaces for everyone? Or do we want to say here is what we have and then when we are full, we are full. The big enrollment question is now that we are at a point where we can be more selective, how do we grow with more selectivity. More students like our best students.

We should probably add to our list of questions, but we need to add the philosophical questions about Residence halls and the

In shaping our enrollment, how does experiential learning (Study Abroad) shape enrollment and capacity? If we don't grow at all and remain static, we can house as many as we have this year (10-11).

In admissions, we can anticipate a "melt" which impacts the academic and housing planning. This process can be difficult and hard to predict.

Enrollment can grow or shrink, how do you plan for that in the long term? We really need to think strategically.

Our gender breakdown for all our TUG's is 57% Female and 43% male and are we comfortable.

Next meeting will include data on the residence halls.

How do we want to proceed?

On April 14<sup>th</sup> from 9am-10am is a division wide meeting for Student Affairs – we can ask the questions of those 30 people and receive feedback?

In terms of campus climate – how do we address the issues?

Discuss (three) questions (boil it down to those questions) Student Affairs, Faculty (College of Arts and Sciences, School of Business, Chairs Meeting, Angela and Maria can cover the non-faculty Academic Affairs side. Matt's area and students need to be covered.

Be careful about assuming there is the assumption that we will grow and that everyone is okay with that. There is modeling out there from Barbara Rex that shows the budget and how it shrinks in years and how does that work. Be ready to address the rationale for why we have to grow. The growth agenda needs to be vetted.

We don't have enough infrastructures to support how big we are right now. We need to show that we have thought about growth strategically. How can we look at smart growth as opposed to accidental growth? We can improve selectivity?

There is always a lag in support for the growth, how do we strategically plan for that lag to make it not so long and delayed.

We need another meeting; we need to flush out the questions. More meetings will be scheduled in the coming weeks.

Meeting Adjourned at 9:35am.

**Minutes submitted by Angela Naginey**